UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,063	02/05/2004	Gary M. Blankenship	CNC 301A	4834	
23581 KOLISCH HA	7590 06/05/2007 RTWELL, P.C.		EXAMINER .		
200 PACIFIC BUILDING			FETSUGA, ROBERT M		
520 SW YAMHILL STREET PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	w			
Office Action Summary		10/774,063	BLANKENSHIP ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo	• •	VIO OFT TO EVOIDE AND	ONTHIO OR THIRTY (OO) DAYO				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONIC c, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  ply be timely filed  I'HS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Marc	ch, 19, 2007 & May 01, 200	<u>07</u> .				
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	±x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>2-6, 9-13</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alaction requirement					
ال(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			a).			
•	•						
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		110(a) (d) as (f)				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	i priority under 35 U.S.C. 9	1 19(a)-(d) of (i).				
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio						
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•				
Attachmer		4) 🖂 Intensions S	ummary (PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Ir 6)  Other:	nformal Patent Application —·				

Art Unit: 3751

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reinert.

Re claim 1, the Reinert reference discloses a structure comprising: a first region 16,17; and a second region 14, as claimed. Claim 11 is redundant to claim 1 insofar as the same product is set forth.

Applicant argues at page 5 of the response filed March 19, 2007 (resubmitted April 17, 2007 and May 01, 2007) the shape structure disclosed by Reinert is not intended to be used with a preliminary wall (e.g. drywall). The examiner can not agree as Fig. 1 in Reinert clearly discloses a preliminary wall 12. In

Application/Control Number: 10/774,063

Art Unit: 3751

any event, the claim limitation which applicant relies upon in this regard is a functional one. "It is well settled that patentability of apparatus claims must depend upon structural limitations and not upon statements of function". See 118 USPQ 353, for example.

3. Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reinert and Webster.

Although the structure of the Reinert wall fixture does not include a third region, as claimed, attention is directed to the Webster reference which discloses an analogous wall fixture which further includes a structure 10 having a third region (receiving 13,14). Therefore, in consideration of Webster, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the wall fixture art to associate a third region with the Reinert structure in order to enable screw attachment.

Applicant has not substantively argued this ground of rejection separately from that addressed supra.

- 4. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.
- 5. The grounds of rejection have been reconsidered in light of applicant's arguments, but are still deemed to be proper.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,063 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday. The Office central fax number is 571/273-8300.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751